In my initial review of the records that reached me 6/28/78, a review to indicate which pages I wanted copied for other uses, my attention was taken by two that refer to Dallas Lotorcycle Policeman James James Chancy. From Dallas files 89-43 these are Serials 1446 and, in reverse chronological order, 9570.

by interest in Chaney dates to 1904. Two are incorporated in Whitewash, completed 2/15/05.On reading these two Palias records my interest was further attracted by a gross and deliberate lie - that Chaney had never been interviewed. Couched, however, to make a different interpretation possible.

In this I also necross possible notive in the sudden burst of withholding of the names of Sas after now than half the Daltas file was processed without excisions of SA names.

The name obliterated from 9014, I'm certain, is Charles T. Brown, Jr. Brown is one of the SAs who worked on the JFK investigation.

The lie is on p. 2 of 9614. It is that "Dallas indices and references from Dallas indices regarding the assassination fail to indicate that CHANEY was interviewed by Agents of this Bureau following the assassination."

At the bottom of the first page brown quotes Lt. Jack Revill as saying "Chaney told AFVILL that he had never been interviewed by anyone following the assassination to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness." "The addition of "to obtain his observations as a witness."

On 12/28/65 Chancy was interviewed by SA Raymond M. Lester, whose report is page 682 of one of the earliest consolidated reports, I think the very first, CD 4. Although Chancy was one of the outriding DPD motorcyclyc escorts he is the ONLY one not used as a Commission witness and about whom I could never find any FBI report. Now these were the closest of eyewitnesses. The others were called. In addition, as I state in Whitewash, in the opinion of Officer Studebaker, Chancy had done some work that appeared to have significance. Studebaker's lead was never followed.

From Lester's report all he asked Chaney about is having seen Tack Kuby the day after JFK was killed and the day before Auby killed Oswald.

Now the FBI was so exhaustive it conducted special hair examinations to prove that the hair (public) on the blanket that was without any question Oswald's blanket was in fact 0. wald's hair. So I found two such oversights to be two was always interested in Chaney.

The first time I had a charge to look into the Chaney matter was when I was in Dalins in December 1971. The first sentence of that meno is accurate and pertinent, "...failure to call Chaney as a witness is cleared up by a tape of his initial comment on what he saw: a bullet hit JFk in the face. He could be wrong," this continues, or could have misspoken himself. I tried to locate the tapes. The station's news editor is dead, others have no knowledge, and the owner's secretary, Gordon acclendon, said he also had no knowledge of their present whereabouts or existence. But he had made a record in which part of the Chancy interview was included. He sent it to me and this is what Chancy did say. It was unwanted testimony, as it would have been if he had corrected it in any way.

Noth of the cited Dallas records were in headquarters. If the PBI is now telling the truth neither was released in the 12/77 and 1/40 releases. I think the reason is obvious: all Washington reporters would have known that the self-serving explanations worked into them are not valid - that the Commission did not call Chaney. The PBI was in charge prior to the appointment of the Commission and it was the Commission's major investigative arm.

The next day, refer ing to this memo, Assistant Director Harold W. Barrett wrote SAC Pares directing that Chaney be interviewed immediately. If this was done it is not included in these Dallas records. If it is in the My releases there is no possible way of locating it.

FBIHe also ordered a review of other cases of police not being interviewed. He directed be given "promptly" to the General investigative Pivision, whose files the FBI steadfastly refuses to search - in any and all cases. No relevant records has been provided by Dallas and again there is no way of knowing if it exists in the almost 100.000 pages of FBIHe releases.

The refer memo to SAC includes a quotation from former Dallas police chief Curry that is conjustent with what Chaney said, that "two men were involved in the shooting" of JFR. It included expressions of regret sympathy for Special Agent/noSTY and his present publicity..." The refers to the note from Lee harvey Oswald he destroyed. An extensive FBI investigation was gonducted. All Dallas FBI employees provided statements. There is virtually no reflection of this in the files just provided. If they are in the mag releases there is no way of finding them.

It will not be possible to go into all withholdings or to propare memos on thom all.

I have done it in this case in part because of my immediate and continuing interest and because motive for withholding outside the exemptions of the Act can be perceived. It was the FBI's job to interview Chaney as a Presidential escort immediately. It didn't. It interviewed him about a minor matter related to suby and more recently it misrepresented that no interview report is reflected in the Dallas indices.